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#### Abstract

First, we describe the isolation of three new diterpenoids with a hydroazulene skeleton, dictyotriol C (1), D (2), and E (3), as well as the previously known pachydictyol A (4), dictyol B (5), dictyol C (6), and dictyotadiol (7), from a Dictyota sp. The structures of the new diterpenoids 1-3 were proposed on the basis of their spectral data and confirmed by chemical transformation of dictyol B (5). This is followed by absolute configuration studies, based on the circular dichroic (CD) allylic benzoate method. The absolute stereochemistries of the new compounds as well as that of the known dictyol $B$ (5) and dictyotadiol (7) were determined. These absolute configurations were opposite to that reported for pachydictyol A (4).


The circular dichroic allylic benzoate method, an extension of the nonempirical exciton chirality method, has been successfully used in various compounds for absolute configuration studies. ${ }^{1}$ We have applied this method to several diterpenoids isolated from brown seaweeds of the genus Dictyota.

## Results and Discussion

From an undetermined species of seaweed of the genus Dictyota, collected in the Canary Islands, ${ }^{2,3}$ were isolated three new bicarbocyclic diterpenes, dictyotriol C (1), D (2), and $E(3)$, in addition to the previously isolated pachydictyol $\mathrm{A}(4),{ }^{4}$ dictyol $\mathrm{B}(5),{ }^{5}$ dictyol $\mathrm{C}(6),{ }^{6}$ and dictyotadiol (7). ${ }^{7}$

$1 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}$
$2 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}$
$8 \quad R_{1}=O A C, R_{2}=H \quad, R_{3}=O A C$

$9 R_{1}=H \quad, R_{2}=O A C, R_{3}=O A C$
$16 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OB2}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \quad, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OAC}$
$17 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} \quad, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OB}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OAC}$
(1) (a) Harada, N.; Iwabuchi, J.; Yokota, Y.; Uda, H.; Nakanishi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5590. (b) Gonnella, N. C.; Nakanishi, K.; Martin, V. S.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3775. (c) Harada, N.; Yokota, Y.; Iwabuchi, J.; Uda, H.; Ochi, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1220. (d) Harada, N.; Nakanishi, K. Circular Dichroic Spectroscopy-Exciton Coupling in Organic Stereochemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1983.
(2) González, A. G.; Martín, J. D.; Gonzälez, B.; Ravelo, J. L.; Pérez, C.; Rafii, S.; Clardy, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 669.
(3) Clardy, J.; Duyne, G. V.; Gonzälez, A. G.; Manta, E.; Martín, J. D.; Pêrez, C.; Ravelo, J. L.; Schulte, G. K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 767.
(4) Hirschfeld, D. R.; Fenical, W.; Lin, G. H. Y.; Wing, R. M.; Radlick, P.; Sims, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 4049.
(5) Fattorusso, E.; Magno, S.; Mayol, L.; Santacroce, C.; Sica, D.; Amico, V.; Oriente, G.; Piattelli, M.; Tringali, C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 575.
(6) Danise, B.; Minale, L.; Riccio, R.; Amico, V.; Oriente, G.; Piattelli, M.; Tringali, C.; Fattorusso, E.; Magno, S.; Mayol, L. Experientia 1977, 33, 413.
(7) Faulkner, D. J.; Ravi, B. N.; Finer, J.; Clardy, J. Phytochemistry 1977, 16, 991 .



| 5 | $R_{1}=O H$ | ,$R_{2}=O H$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | $R_{1}=O A C$ | ,$R_{2}=O H$ |
| 18 | $R_{1}=O B z$ | ,$R_{2}=O H$ |
| 19 | $R_{1}=O B z$ | ,$R_{2}=O B z$ |
| 20 | $R_{1}=O B z$ | ,$R_{2}=O$ |
| 21 | $R_{1}=O B n P h$, | $R_{2}=O B n P h$ |
| 22 | $R_{1}=O B n P h$, | $R_{2}=O H$ |


$7 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}$
$23 R=O B z$

The structures of compounds 1-3 have been determined on the basis of spectroscopic evidence. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra indicated that 1 and 2 have a structure very similar to that of dictyol B, with the exception of the side-chain moiety. The absence of the isopropylidene group and the presence of an isopropenyl group in the side chain, $\delta 1.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and $4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $\delta 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 5.19 (s, I H), and 4.95 (br s, 1 H ), for dictyotriol C (1) and $D$ (2) respectively, were clear from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, and upon acetylation the corresponding diacetate derivatives 8 and 9 were obtained.

Difficulties arising with the isolation of compound 3 suggested acetylation. Dictyotriol E acetate (10) has a structure very similar to that of dictyol B acetate (11), from which it differs only in the side-chain moiety. The presence of a signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum at $\delta 1.27$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6$ H ), together with another in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum at 70.8 ppm (s), was assigned to an isopropyl alcohol moiety, and the signals at $\delta 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and 5.56 (dd, $J=16.2$ and $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and at 125.4 (d) and 140.5 (d) ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum also showed the presence of a disubstituted unconjugated double bond (Table I).

Final confirmation of the assigned structures was achieved by chemical transformation of dictyol B (5) to dictyotriol C, D, and E, as shown in Scheme I. Acetylation

Table I. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR Data ${ }^{a}$

| carbon | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 46.1 | 43.0 | 43.1 | 43.0 | 43.3 | 43.1 |
| 2 | 34.8 | 33.9 | 33.7 | 33.8 | 33.9 | 33.7 |
| 3 | 124.0 | 124.0 | 124.1 | 123.8 | 124.3 | 123.9 |
| 4 | 141.4 | 141.3 | 141.2 | 140.9 | 140.8 | 141.0 |
| 5 | 60.5 | 61.0 | 61.3 | 61.2 | 61.5 | 61.1 |
| 6 | 75.1 | 74.4 | 74.6 | 74.9 | 74.9 | 74.5 |
| 7 | 47.8 | 44.6 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 43.4 | 43.9 |
| 8 | 23.5 | 29.6 | 30.9 | 33.4 | 33.9 | 30.2 |
| 9 | 40.6 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 76.4 | 77.3 | 77.4 |
| 10 | 152.6 | 154.7 | 154.7 | 154.5 | 149.6 | 149.6 |
| 11 | 35.1 | 34.1 | 35.5 | 35.0 | 35.5 | 34.7 |
| 12 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 33.7 | 35.1 | 38.2 | 35.0 |
| 13 | 25.7 | 32.0 | 32.6 | 25.7 | 125.4 | 25.6 |
| 14 | 124.7 | 74.9 | 76.8 | 124.6 | 140.5 | 124.6 |
| 15 | 131.5 | 147.9 | 147.8 | 131.5 | 70.8 | 131.6 |
| 16 | 25.6 | 18.1 | 17.6 | 25.7 | 30.1 | 25.6 |
| 17 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 15.6 |
| 18 | 107.1 | 104.1 | 104.2 | 104.0 | 105.1 | 104.7 |
| 19 | 17.7 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.5 | 17.9 | 17.4 |
| 20 | 17.5 | 110.8 | 111.5 | 17.7 | 30.1 | 17.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $21.4(q)$ | 21.2 (q) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 159.0 (s) | 169.9 (s) |

a $\delta$ values are relative to TMS in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

## Scheme I


of 5 with acetic anhydride in pyridine led to dictyol B acetate (11), which was treated with $N$-bromosuccinimide in THF $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a mixture of 12 and 13 , which were isolated chromatographically over silica gel. These compounds were transformed separately with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ / MeOH to give the epoxides 14 and 15 , respectively, which upon treatment with $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ /hexane ${ }^{8}$ at room temperature were converted into the corresponding target compounds dictyotriol C and D. Alternatively, transformation of 14 or $\mathbf{1 5}$ with diphenyl diselenide/sodium borohydride/hydrogen peroxide ${ }^{9}$ and acetylation led to dictyotriol $E$ acetate (10).

The absolute configurations of these diterpenoids with allylic alcohol functionalities were determined by the circular dichroic (CD) allylic benzoate method. ${ }^{1}$ In the case of dictyotriol C and D , the absolute configurations of the two allylic alcohol moieties, one in the side chain and the other in the ring, were determined independently. Acetylation of compounds 14 and 15 followed by treatment with $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ /hexane and benzoylation with $p$-bromobenzoyl chloride/pyridine yielded the two diastereomeric acyclic allylic benzoates 16 and 17, respectively. Subsequent CD analyses clarified their absolute configurations: ${ }^{1 \mathrm{bb}} 16, \lambda_{\text {ext }}$ $=236 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon=-3.4)$ and $17, \lambda_{\text {ext }}=248 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon=+0.8)$; hence $14 R$ and $14 S$, respectively.

Determination of the absolute configuration of the exocyclic allylic alcohol moiety was performed on dictyol B

[^0](5), which belongs to the same stereochemical series as compounds 1-3.

Benzoylation of 5 with $p$-bromobenzoyl chloride/silver triflate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ pyridine ${ }^{10}$ gave the monobenzoate derivative 18 , which showed a negative Cotton effect at $\lambda_{\text {ext }}$ $=239 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon=-2.7)$. The CD data of the allylic benzoates containing exocyclic ${ }^{1 c, 11,12}$ as well as endocyclic double bonds can be similarly annotated; the negative CD sign of 18 thus results in the absolute stereochemistry shown. ${ }^{13}$ However, since this turns out to be opposite to the absolute configuration of pachydictyol A (4, isolated from the brown alga Pachydictyon coriaceum) as determined by X-ray crystallography, ${ }^{4}$ other methods were explored. Dibenzoate 19 , obtained in a very small yield, showed no split CD due to the coplanarity of the two benzoate groups, and therefore the common dibenzoate chirality method ${ }^{14}$ was not applicable. Epimerization of compound 18 at C-6 was then attempted in order to avoid coplanarity of these chromophores. However, oxidation of the severely hindered $6-\mathrm{OH}$ with $\mathrm{CrO}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{Py} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to the keto benzoate 20, followed by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ reduction, gave the same alcohol benzoate 18 .
It has recently been shown that the CD spectra of $p$ phenylbenzyl ethers can be interpreted in a manner similar to those of the benzoates in the exciton chirality treatment. ${ }^{15}$ Application of this chromophore to dictyol B (5) was thereby investigated. The 6,9-bis ( $p$-phenylbenzyl ether) 21 , prepared by treatment of 5 with $p$-phenylbenzyl bromide/ $\mathrm{NaH} /$ THF:DMF (4:1), displayed no coupled Cotton effects, again due to coplanarity of the two chromophores. However, the $9-\mathrm{mono}$ ( $p$-phenylbenzyl ether) 22, obtained as a minor product in the $p$-phenyl-

[^1]benzylation, exhibited a negative CD at $\lambda_{\text {ext }}=247 \mathrm{~nm}$ ( $\Delta \epsilon$ $=-1.1$ ), thus supporting the same absolute configuration as that derived from benzoate 18.
Additional support was given by the CD data of the 4 - $p$-bromobenzoate of dictyotadiol (23): $\lambda_{\text {ext }}=235 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon$ $=+5.0$ ).
The absolute stereochemistry of the new compounds dictyotriol C (1), D (2), and E (3), as well as of the known dictyol B (5) and dictyotadiol (7), were thus found to be as shown.

## Experimental Section

General. Melting points were determined on a Kofler block and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 257 spectrophotometer, and UV spectra were performed on a Perkin-Elmer Model 320UV. CD spectra were recorded on a JASCO J-500A spectropolarimeter interfaced with a JASCO DP500N data processor and an IBM-PC apparatus. Optical rotations were determined with a Perkin-Elmer Model 241 polarimeter. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR were recorded on Bruker Model WP 200SY and Model WM 250 spectrometers ( $\delta$ scale). Low-resolution MS data were obtained with a Ribermag R10-10 and a VG Micromass Model ZAB-2F. High-resolution MS were obtained from a VG Micromass ZAB-2F. Column and dry column chromatography were performed on silica gel G , all Merck products. The TLC plates were developed by spraying with $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( $80: 16: 4$ ) and heating. All solvents were purified by standard techniques. Anhydrous sodium sulfate was used for drying solutions. All reagents used were of the best grade commercially available. Prior to measurement of the CD spectra, all compounds were purified by HPLC (EtOAc/n-hexane solvent systems, silica gel column). The concentrations of the CD samples $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)^{16}$ were ascertained from the UV spectra, using the standard values of 21300 for the mono-p-bromobenzoates ${ }^{1 b}$ and 20300 for the mono- $p$-phenylbenzylates. ${ }^{15}$

General Procedure for Benzoylation. The solution of the starting material in dry pyridine with DMAP as catalyst is treated with a $1.5 \times$ excess of $p$-bromobenzoyl chloride. The resulting pale yellow solution is heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred overnight (12 h). The reaction is quenched with a few drops of MeOH , and the excess solvent is removed under reduced pressure in the presence of heptane or toluene. The residue is then spotted on preparative TLC or fractionated on flash column chromatography to give the benzoate.

General Procedure for Acetylation. The starting material dissolved in dry pyridine is treated with an excess of acetic anhydride, leaving the solution with stirring at room temperature overnight ( 12 h ). The mixture is quenched with MeOH , and the excess solvent is removed under reduced pressure in the presence of heptane or toluene. The residue is then spotted on preparative TLC or fractionated on flash column chromatography to give the acetate.

Collection, Extraction, and Chromatographic Separation. The Dictyota sp. was collected in Orzola, Lanzarote (Canary Islands), during the summer of 1983, air-dried, and ground in a Wiley mill to a $1-\mathrm{mm}$ particle size. The dried alga $(5.35 \mathrm{~kg})$ was extracted in a Soxhlet apparatus with acetone. The extracts were concentrated, and the resulting suspension was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ extracts were evaporated to leave a dark green viscous oil ( $195 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \%$ dry weight), which was chromatographed on silica gel, with $n$-hexane and mixtures of increasing polarity obtained with $n$-hexane and EtOAc as eluents; 1-L fractions were collected.

Pachydictyol A (4). Fractions eluted in the general chromatography in $n$-hexane/EtOAc (95:5) were combined and rechromatographed to obtain pachydictyol A ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \%$ ), which had physical and spectral properties identical with those quoted for authentic material. ${ }^{4}$

Dictyotadiol (7), Dictyol B (5), and Dictyol C (6). These diterpenoids were eluted by using mixtures of $n$-hexane/EtOAc

[^2](85:15). They were obtained in pure form by successive chromatographies on silica gel; properties of dictyotadiol ( 157 mg , $0.08 \%$ ), dictyol B ( $490 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \%$ ), and dictyol C ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \%$ ) were identical in all respects with those reported for authentic material. ${ }^{7,5,6}$
Compound 1. The diterpenoid compound 1 was eluted by using mixtures of $n$-hexane/EtOAc (60:40). It was obtained in pure form by rechromatography ( $19.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \%$ ): mp 165-167 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}} 77.4^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu_{\max }=3600,3420,3000$, 2920, 1450, 1380, and $905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI), m/e (relative intensity) $302\left(0.6, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 284\left(4.1, \mathrm{M}^{+}-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 266\left(2.5, \mathrm{M}^{+}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 251 (6.7), 202 (2.8), 199 ( 15.2 ), 185 (17.8), 157 (100.0); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.32 (br s, 1 H ); HRMS $m / e$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 302.2244$, found 302.2257 ; calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\right.$ $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) 284.2138, found 284.2104; calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ 266.2033, found 266.2067 .

Compound 2. The fractions eluted in the general chromatography in $n$-hexane/EtOAc (50:50) were submitted to successive chromatographies. The compound was purified by recrystallization in $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left(74.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \%\right.$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 164-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+72.4^{\circ}\left(c 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu_{\max } 3600,3250,3000,2920,1450$, 1380 , and $905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 302 (1.1, $\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 284 (7.1, $\mathrm{M}^{+}-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 266 (4.5, $\mathrm{M}^{+}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 251 (7.5), 202 (15.6), 199 (12.9), 185 (10.9), 157 (84.5); ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93$ (br d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19$ (s, 1 H ), 5.34 (br s, 1 H ); HRMS $m / e$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 302.1244$, found 302.1214; calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ 284.2138, found 284.2099; calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 266.2033$, found 266.2025 .
Compound 8: diacetate of compound $1 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Compound 9: diacetate of compound 2; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathcal{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.13(\mathbf{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
Compound 3. The triol was obtained from the same fractions as compound 2, $n$-hexane/EtOAc ( $50: 50$ ). Acetylations of the mother liquors of compound 2 with acetic anhydride ( 2 mL ) and pyridine ( 2 mL ) and further chromatography on silica gel, using a mixture of $n$-hexane $/ \operatorname{EtOAc}(60: 40)$ as eluent, led to the monoacetate of compound $3(10,23.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \%)$ : oil, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+56.8^{\circ}$ (c $2.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (film) $\nu_{\max } 3585,3450,3000,2960,2920,1720$, $1640,1370,1245$, and $900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $344\left(0.7, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ), 326 (1.10, $\mathrm{M}^{+}-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 302 (1.15, $\mathrm{M}^{+}-$ AcOH ), 284 ( $19.0, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 266 (16.7), 157 (100.0); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.76 (s, 3 H ), 2.12 (s, 3 H ), 3.87 (br d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.90 (br s, 1 H), $4.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56$ (dd, $J=16.2$ and $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); HRMS $m / e$ calcd and found for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 284.2139$; calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{AcOH}-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 266.2033$, found 266.1998 .

Preparation of 11 . Dictyol B acetate ( $410 \mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was prepared from dictyol B ( $355 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the standard procedure for acetylation and had spectral properties identical with those quoted for authentic material. ${ }^{\text {? }}$

Compounds 12 and 13. To a solution of 11 ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11$ mmol ) in THF ( 20 mL ) and a few drops of water at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was slowly added NBS ( $213 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ), and the mixture was stirred for 10 min . Subsequently, the reaction mixture was poured onto ice and extracted with ether in the usual way. Removal of the solvent and chromatography on silica gel with a mixture of $n$-hexane/EtOAc (40:60) as eluent afforded the bromohydrins $12(39.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $13(64.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ mmol). Compound 12: oil; $[\alpha]_{D}+25.9^{\circ}\left(c 0.11, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.77 (s, 3 H ), 2.13 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.90 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.95 (d, $J$ $=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{brd}, J=10.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.35 (br s, 1 H ); MS (EI), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $384 / 382$ ( $0.2 / 0.2, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{AcOH}$ ), 366 (3.4), 364 (6.4), 362 (3.3), 284 (4.9), 267 (9.4), 185 (19.0), 183 (24.7), 157 (71.3). Compound 13: oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+70.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.37, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J$ $=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), 5.09 (br d, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.29 (br s, 1 H ); MS (EI), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $366 / 364$ ( $1.3 / 1.3, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 284 (4.7), 267 (8.8), 185 (14.1), 183 (4.9), 157 (70.3).
Compound 14. An excess of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was added to a solution of compound 12 ( $39.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. After 30 min , the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with ether in the usual way. The residue obtained was submitted to chromatography to give the epoxide 14 (27.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a noncrystalline solid: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+71.1^{\circ}$ (c 0.90 , $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H ), $1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) , 5.31 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (EI), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 302 (1.2, $\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 284 (7.7), 264 (5.0), 256 (10.9), 213 (11.0), 198 (20.1), 185 (25.4), 175 (21.3), 157 (100.0).

Compound 15. Similarly to 12 , compound 13 ( $64.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ mmol ) was treated with an excess of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to give the epoxide 15 as a noncrystalline solid ( $44.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+64.7^{\circ}$ (c $2.72, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$ (br d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.16 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (EI), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $302\left(1.0, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 284(5.8), 264(2.0), 256(6.3), 213(6.6), 198$ (19.0), 185 (24.0), 175 (19.6), 157 (100.0).

Preparation of 1 . The epoxide $14(10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was adsorbed in activated $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (200 mg ). After evaporation of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ by passage of Ar, $n$-hexane ( 10 mL ) was added. The suspension was stirred for 18 h at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was chromatographed on silica gel, with mixtures of $n$-hexane/EtOAc (30:70) as eluents, to give compound $1(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol})$.

Preparation of 2. Compound 15 ( $15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated similarly to 14 to afford compound $2(3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$.

Preparation of 10. To a solution of diphenyl diselenide ( 6.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{EtOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( $1.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. To this was added a solution of 15 ( $13.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous EtOH ( 1 mL ), and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h . The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(45 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. After completion of the addition, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The solution was diluted with water, washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), and evaporated to yield compound $3(9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030$ mmol ) after chromatographic purification. Acetylation of 3 gave compound 10 ( $10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).

As described for compound 15 (vide supra), compound 14 (8.3 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol})$ led to $10(6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$.

Preparation of 16 and 17. The acetate epoxide derivative $(12.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$ obtained by treatment of $15(11.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ with $(\mathrm{AcO})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and pyridine was transformed with $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, as described for compound 1 (vide supra), into the corresponding allylic alcohol ( $3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.009 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which was benzoylated with $p$-bromobenzoyl chloride ( 0.014 mmol ) in dry pyridine ( 1 mL ) according to the standard procedure for benzoylation. The reaction mixture was chromatographed on a preparative TLC plate, $n$-hexane/EtOAc ( $70: 30$ ), to afford the pure product 17 ( 4.5 mg , $0.008 \mathrm{mmol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.74 (s, 3 H ), 2.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.84 (m, 1 H ), 4.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35$ (m, 1 H ), $7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max } 243 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{CD}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\text {ext }} 248 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon=+0.8)$.

Similarly to 15 , compound $14(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transformed into the allylic benzoate 16 ( $2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which was chromatographed on a preparative TLC plate, $n$ hexane/EtOAc (70:30): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ), 1.65 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=$ 3.5 and $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max } 243 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{CD}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\text {ext }} 236 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon=-3.4)$.

Benzoylation of Dictyol B (5). This reaction was performed by an alternative in situ method ${ }^{17}$ to afford also some of the
(17) Vázquez, J. T.; Wiesler, W. T.; Nakanishi, K. Carbohydr. Res. 1988, 176, 175.
bis( $p$-bromobenzoate) derivative, because the hydroxyl at $\mathrm{C}-6$ is severely hindered. To a solution of dictyol $\mathrm{B}(8.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ pyridine ( $8: 2$ ) ( 2 mL ) were added 4 -bromobenzoyl chloride ( $18.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and silver triflate ( $21.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085$ mmol ). The suspension was stirred for 1 h at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the excess reagent was destroyed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (6 drops). The precipitated silver salt was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (three times), and the filtrate was dried in vacuo. Pu rification by preparative TLC, $n$-hexane/EtOAc ( $80: 20$ ), afforded the monobenzoate ( $11.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the dibenzoate ( 0.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.001 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) derivatives of dictyol B , compounds 18 and 19 , respectively. 18: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{br}$ dd, $J=3.4$ and $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.34 (br s, 1 H ), 5.36 (m, 1 H ), 7.59 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.96 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS-DCI $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), m / e$ (relative intensity) $506 / 504$ $\left(100 / 100, \mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), 426\left(35, \mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{Br}\right), 304(43$, $\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{BrBzOH}$ ), 287 ( $15, \mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BrBzOH}$ ), 269 ( 23 , $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BrBzOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; $\mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max } 243 \mathrm{~nm}$; CD $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\text {ext }} 239 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon=-2.7)$.
Preparation of 21 and 22. To a solution of dictyol B ( 2.8 mg , $9.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in anhydrous THF/DMF (4:1) $(250 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added NaH (excess) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature. After 1 h , a solution of $p$-phenylbenzyl bromide ( $11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 46.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added, leaving the solution with stirring for 2 days. The mixture was quenched with MeOH and filtered. The filtrate was then concentrated in vacuo and purified on a microflash silica gel column, $n$-hexane $/ \operatorname{EtOAc}(90: 10$ ), to afford the mono( $p$ phenylbenzyl ether) ( $1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the bis ( $p$-phenylbenzyl ether) ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 3.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) derivatives of dictyol B, compounds 22 and 21, respectively. Compound 22: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ (m, 1 H$), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{brt}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS (EI), m/e $470\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; $\mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max } 253 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{CD}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\text {ext }} 247 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon=-1.1)$.

Benzoylation of Dictyotadiol (7). Compound 7 was benzoylated similarly to dictyol B. ${ }^{17}$ 4-Bromobenzoyl chloride ( 4.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 18.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and silver triflate ( $4.6 \mathrm{mg}, 18.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added to a solution of dictyotadiol ( $1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 3.61 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $800 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and dry pyridine ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The suspension was stirred for 3 h at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the excess reagent was destroyed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 4 drops). The precipitated silver salt was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (three times), and the filtrate was dried in vacuo and then extracted with $n$-hexane. Purification by HPLC (Phenomenex IB-SIL $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, n$-hexane/EtOAc (93:7), $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) afforded the desired monobenzoate derivative $23(400 \mu \mathrm{~g}, 0.82 \mu \mathrm{~mol}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; proton NMR assignments were based upon decoupling experiments) $\delta 1.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-19\right), 1.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-16\right)$, $1.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-20\right), 1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-17\right), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6)$, 4.80 and 4.89 ( $2 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18 \mathrm{~s}$ ), 5.11 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14$ ), 5.51 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 6.12 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), 7.53 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, benzoate- 3,5 H's), 7.87 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, benzoate- $2,6 \mathrm{H}$ 's); UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ $\lambda_{\max } 243 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{CD}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\text {ext }} 235 \mathrm{~nm}(\Delta \epsilon=+5.0) ; \mathrm{MS}-\mathrm{DCI}$ $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), m / e$ (relative intensity) $506 / 504\left(1 / 1, \mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$, $287\left(40, \mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BrBzOH}\right), 269\left(100, \mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BrBzOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
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